

[2023] 155 taxmann.com 288 (Article)

[2023] 155 taxmann.com 288 (Article)©

Date of Publishing: October 16, 2023

Multiple Search by Multiple Tax Agencies



MAHI YADAV

Special Public Prosecutor (SPP), Union of India. Standing Counsel for CGST & ED

OVERVIEW

In the dynamic landscape of financial governance, a notable phenomenon has emerged—the coordinated efforts of multiple tax agencies including Commercial Tax Department, Income Tax, Central GST, Customs Department, DGGI, DRI Enforcement Directorate, State GST authority etc. but under the umbrella of The Ministry of Finance, conducting simultaneous searches.

This can be result in what is commonly referred to as "parallel investigations", where multiple authorities simultaneously or sequentially investigate of the same company/firm/taxpayer/business or individual. These agencies, often distinct in their jurisdiction and focus, come together to address suspicions of undisclosed income, manipulation of financial records, or involvement in complex transactions. Additionally, cross-border transactions, money laundering, or the use of tax havens may also trigger the involvement of multiple tax agencies. Such operations involve the meticulous examination of multiple locations linked to individuals, businesses, or entities under investigation.

The primary objective behind these joint search operations is to create a more comprehensive and effective approach to tackling complex financial schemes. By combining the expertise and resources of different tax agencies, authorities can cast a wider net and delve deeper into intricate financial networks that may span across various jurisdictions.

These searches are often conducted simultaneously at multiple locations linked to individuals, businesses, or entities under investigation. The synchronized nature of these operations is intended to prevent any forewarning or attempts to manipulate evidence, ensuring a thorough and unbiased examination.

One of the significant advantages of this collaborative approach is its ability to uncover hidden assets, undisclosed income, and interconnected financial transactions. It allows tax authorities to piece together a more accurate picture of an entity's financial activities, facilitating a more precise determination of tax liabilities.

However, such concerted efforts also raise important considerations. Balancing the need for enforcement with respect for individual rights and privacy is a critical aspect of these operations. Striking this balance is crucial to maintain public trust and uphold the principles of a just and equitable tax system.

The success of these multiple-agency searches relies on seamless communication and information-sharing mechanisms between the involved entities. Although recently the Hon'ble Delhi High Court in ***Ashish Bhalla v. State***¹, has ruled that when the Serious Fraud Inquiry Office (SFIO) conducts an investigation into a company's operations under the Companies Act, it is not allowed for any other agency to conduct a simultaneous investigation.

CHALLENGES FACED BY TAXPAYER DUE TO MULTIPLE INVESTIGATION

In recent times, the collaborative efforts of multiple tax agencies conducting simultaneous searches have become a prevailing strategy in the pursuit of financial transparency. While these actions are designed to combat tax evasion and ensure compliance, they come with a set of challenges that can significantly impact taxpayers. Some significant challenges are:

1. **Invasion of Privacy:** The simultaneous searches conducted by various tax agencies are not confined to mere surface-level examinations of financial records. Instead, they probe into the intimate details of individuals' lives, including property ownership, expenditures, and potentially sensitive personal information. For instance, if a taxpayer is subject to simultaneous searches, the investigators may scrutinize not only income statements and tax returns but also delve into personal correspondence, family arrangements and other aspects unrelated to tax liabilities. As a consequence, taxpayers often find their privacy compromised, raising concerns about the extent of scrutiny and the protection of sensitive information. ***Directorate of Enforcement v. Deepak Mahajan 2017*** ² Customs Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESAT) highlighted that search and seizure proceedings must be conducted lawfully and the rights of the person whose premises are being searched must be protected.
2. **Business Disruptions:** Coordinated searches have the potential to exert a significant resource drain on businesses and individuals who find themselves under scrutiny by multiple jurisdictional authorities. This drain encompasses various aspects, including financial losses, strained relationships with clients and partners. The cumulative effect of these resource drains can disrupt operations, hinder business growth, and strain financial stability.
3. **Jurisdictional Disputes:** Jurisdictional disputes can arise when multiple tax agencies claim authority over a taxpayer's case, leading to confusion, delays, and potential conflicts in the investigation process.

Different factors such as overlapping responsibilities, differing interpretations of tax laws, or disagreements over the scope of the investigation might give rise to these disputes.

One of the main challenges faced by taxpayers in such situations is the lack of clarity regarding which tax agency has primary jurisdiction over their case. This can lead to conflicting demands, requests for information or even simultaneous searches by different agencies. Taxpayers may find themselves caught in the middle of jurisdictional battles, unsure of how to proceed or which agency to cooperate with.

4. **Legal and Compliance Costs:** When multiple tax agencies initiate simultaneous searches, taxpayers find themselves compelled to navigate a complex legal landscape. The intricacies of tax laws, coupled with the need for comprehensive compliance, demand a strategic and informed legal response. Consequently, individuals and businesses under investigation often seek the

services of legal professionals and their legal fees which can accumulate rapidly during prolonged investigations, pose a considerable strain on the financial resources of individuals and businesses.

5. Prolonged Investigations: Investigations involving multiple tax agencies may extend over prolonged periods. The extended duration of these investigations introduces complexities and uncertainties that taxpayers must navigate. Lengthy investigations contribute to prolonged uncertainty, affecting the decision-making processes of taxpayers and causing disruptions to their personal and professional lives. The ***Vodafone International Holdings Bv v. Union of India***³ is a landmark example involving tax disputes between the Indian government and Vodafone Group. It pertains to the acquisition of Hutchison Essar by Vodafone in 2007. The Indian tax authorities claimed jurisdiction to tax the transaction, leading to a prolonged legal battle. After thirteen years of long and disputed procedure, the award was passed by Permanent Council of Arbitration on 25-9-2020. This case involved complex jurisdictional issues and brought attention to the challenges that multinational corporations face when dealing with taxation in multiple jurisdictions.
6. Potential for abuse: The expansive powers vested in tax agencies are designed to empower them in the pursuit of financial transparency, tax compliance, and the prevention of fraudulent activities. However, the very nature of these powers, when not subject to adequate checks and balances, opens the door to potential abuse. Taxpayers may feel vulnerable to arbitrary actions, leading to a perception of unfair treatment and potential overreach by authorities. The Hon'ble Delhi High Court in ***CIT v. Atul Jain***⁴ held that the reopening was based on vague and irrelevant information and set aside the reassessment order. This case underscores the importance of procedural fairness and the need for tax authorities to have valid reasons for initiating search operations. Unjustified searches can indeed impose a significant burden on taxpayers.
7. Reputational Damage to Taxpayers: The impact of reputation damage can extend beyond the scope of the investigation itself. Even if the allegations are ultimately disproven or dismissed, the shadow of suspicion may linger. Addressing and mitigating this reputational harm requires careful communication, strategic public relations efforts, and, in some cases, legal action to protect one's image and interests.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the multiple searches conducted by various investigation agencies have undeniably created significant hurdles for taxpayers. These repeated investigations not only consume valuable time and resources but also cause immense stress and anxiety for individuals and businesses alike. The frequent disruptions and uncertainties resulting from these searches can negatively impact the overall economic climate and hinder the growth of businesses.

Furthermore, the repetitive nature of these investigations raises concerns about the efficiency and coordination among the different agencies involved. It is crucial for these agencies to streamline their processes and enhance communication to avoid duplication of efforts and minimize the burden on taxpayers.

While it is essential to combat tax evasion and ensure compliance with tax laws, a balance must be struck to prevent excessive intrusion into the lives of law-abiding taxpayers. There is a need for a more targeted and efficient approach that focuses on identifying high-risk cases rather than subjecting all taxpayers to repeated investigations. In order to address these challenges, it is imperative for the Government and Tax Authorities to adopt a more holistic and collaborative approach

© Copyright Taxmann. No part can be copied or circulated without the permission of Taxmann.

[1.](#) [2023] 154 taxmann.com 422 (Delhi).

[2.](#) ST Appeal No. 59 of 2004, dated 15-2-2011.

[3.](#) [2012] 17 taxmann.com 202/[204 Taxman 408/34 ITR 1 (SC).

[4.](#) [2007] 164 Taxman 33 (Delhi)