



MAJESTY LEGAL
Advocates & Solicitors

ANTICIPATORY BAIL NOT PERMISSIBLE UNDER NDPS ACT: HON'BLE SC

"DINESH CHANDER VERSUS STATE OF HARYANA"

Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of *Dinesh Chander Versus State Of Haryana*¹ reiterated that anticipatory bail cannot be granted in cases under the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances (NDPS) Act. In the present matter, petitioner's name emerged from the disclosure statements of co-accused individuals, from whom 60 kg of poppy husk and 1.8 kg of opium were recovered. The co-accused identified the petitioner as the supplier of the contraband. Petitioner contended that he had been falsely implicated, highlighting that his name was not mentioned in the original FIR and that the only material against him was the disclosure statements of the co-accused. However, Hon'ble High Court observed that the petitioner was specifically named as the supplier and that there were records of telephonic conversations and financial transactions between the petitioner and the co-accused. On this basis, the High Court denied anticipatory bail.

Challenging this, the petitioner approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Hon'ble Apex Court, however, found no ground to interfere with the High Court's findings and dismissed the petition. It directed that the petitioner may surrender before Ld. Trial Court and apply for regular bail, which shall be decided on its own merits in accordance with law, and as expeditiously as possible.

TEAM MAJESTY LEGAL²

OFFICE : B-87, Alaknanda Apartment, G-1, Ganesh Marg/Moti Marg, Bapu Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.

https://maps.app.goo.gl/BsUvY9RWyvUt6JcB9?g_st=iw

CHAMBER : 204, E-Block, Rajasthan High Court, Jaipur.

MOBILE No. : 9785461395

E-MAIL : mahi@majestylegal.in

WEBSITE : www.majestylegal.in

¹ SLP(CrI) No. 9540/2025

² Majesty legal is a LAW FIRM established in 2013 by Ms. Mahi Yadav. Objective of this legal update is to provide insights on law, statutes and is personal in nature, not to be deemed as legal advice.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 9540/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 05-05-2025 in CRM-M No. 5507/2025 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh]

DINESH CHANDER

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

(IA No.151621/2025-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 07-07-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ MITHAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN
[PARTIAL COURT WORKING DAYS BENCH]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dhiraj Kumar Sammi, AOR
Mr. Sanjay Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. We are not satisfied that any error has been committed by the High Court in refusing anticipatory bail to the petitioner in NDPS Case.
3. The Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.
4. The petitioner may surrender before the Trial Court and may apply for regular bail, which shall be considered on its own merits and in accordance with law, expeditiously.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(VARSHA MENDIRATTA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NIDHI MATHUR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)